

MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
NAGPUR BENCH NAGPUR
ORIGINAL APPLICATION No. 1127 of 2023 (D.B.)

Virendra s/o Vinayakrao Sisode,
aged about: 41 years, Occupation: Police constable
Buckle No. 1377, R/o Shrikrushna Nagar near
Pathya Pustak Mandal, behind VMV premises,
Amravati – 444 604.

Applicant.

Versus

- 1) The State of Maharashtra through its Secretary,
Home Department, Mantralaya, Mumbai 400 032.
- 2) The Additional Principal Secretary,
Home Department, Maharashtra State,
Mantralaya, Mumbai 400 032.
- 3) The Police Commissioner,
Amravati City, office near Maltekdi Chandur
Railway Road Amravati – 444 606.

Respondents.

Shri V.A. Kothale, Advocate for the applicant.

Shri M.I. Khan, learned P.O. for the respondents.

**Coram :- Hon'ble Shri Justice M.G. Giratkar,
Vice Chairman and
Hon'ble Shri Vinay Kargaonkar,
Member (A).**

Dated :- 15/01/2024.

JUDGMENT

Per : Shri Justice M.G. Giratkar, Vice-Chairman.

Heard Shri K.V. Kothale, learned counsel holding for Shri V.A. Kothale, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri M.I. Khan, learned P.O. for the respondents.

2. The learned P.O. has filed reply of respondent no.3. It is taken on record and copy is given to the learned counsel for the applicant. The matter is admitted.

3. Looking to the prayer, the O.A. is heard and decided finally with the consent of learned counsel for both the parties.

4. The applicant was appointed on the post of Police Constable on 07/09/2007. Thereafter, he was promoted on the post of Head Constable. The departmental enquiry was initiated and punishment was awarded by the Commissioner of Police, Amravati by which the applicant is terminated from the service. The applicant has filed appeal before respondent no.2, i.e., the Additional Principal Secretary (Home Department). As per the submission of the applicant, it is pending since 26/07/2022. Therefore, prayed for direction to respondent no.2 to decide the appeal.

5. The relief prayed in the present O.A. is very simple. Only direction is sought by the applicant to decide his appeal.

6. Heard learned counsel for applicant and learned P.O.
Looking to the submission, we pass the following order –

ORDER

(i) The O.A. is allowed.

(ii) The respondent no.2 i.e. Additional Principal Secretary (Appeal) is directed to decide the appeal filed by the applicant as early as possible.

(iii) No order as to costs.

(Vinay Kargaonkar)
Member(A).

(Justice M.G. Giratkar)
Vice-Chairman.

Dated :- 15/01/2024.

dnk.

I affirm that the contents of the PDF file order are word to word same as per original Judgment.

Name of P.A. : D.N. Kadam

Court Name : Court of Hon'ble Vice Chairman.

Judgment signed on : 15/01/2024.